

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,062	02/05/2004	Michael Christopher O'Brien	1-24174	6040
4859	7590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER	
	LAN SOBANSKI & TO	PAK, JOHN D		
ONE MARITIME PLAZA FIFTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOLEDO,	OH 43604-1619		1616	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/773,062	O'BRIEN, MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN PAK	1616	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>JOHN PAK</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>GARY SUTTER</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 3 July 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Dol Ach			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner Pak called Mr. Sutter to inquire about an undelivered Notice of Allowance because the PTO records appeared to indicate a returned mail status for the Notice of Allowance of 5/3/2006. Mr. Sutter informed the Examiner that the address of record needed to be corrected. Mr. Sutter also informed the Examiner that PTO staff contacted his office several weeks ago and applicant did obtain a copy of the Notice of Allowance at that time..